

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/714,051	SOKOLOV, STEPAN B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wei Y Zhen	2122	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Wei Y Zhen.

(3) ____

 (2) Robert C. Kowert, Reg. No. 39,255.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 9 November 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting rejections

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the attorney to discuss a potential double patenting rejection for this application and requested a filing of terminal disclaimer to overcome the potential double patenting rejection to put this application in condition for allowance. The attorney agreed to file a terminal disclaimer..